ETHNO-ORNITHOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE BY THE RESIDENTS OF CATUNI DA ESTRADA VILLAGE, CHAPADA DIAMANTINA-BA

BONFIM, A.F¹.; ANDREA, M.V.²; ALMEIDA, R.³; OLIVEIRA, K.N.⁴; LEMOS, M.S.⁵; ANICETO, E.S.⁶

¹ Zootecnista, UFRB, Cruz das Almas, allan.zootecniaufrb@gmail.com
² Doutorado em Ciências Biológicas, UFRB, Cruz das Almas, mvander@ufrb.edu.br
³ Doutorado em Ciências, UFRB, Cruz das Almas, renato.almeida@ufrb.edu.br
⁴ Doutorado em Zootecnia, UFAM, Manaus, kaliane@ufam.edu.br
⁵ Biólogo, UFRB, Cruz das Almas, marcel.lemos@ufrb.edu.br
⁶ Mestre em Ciência Animal, UENF, Campos dos Goytacazes, elon1995@pq.uenf.br

INTRODUCTION

The set of interactions between humans and fauna can be traced through different scientific approaches, depending on the theoretical line (Begossi, 1993). Ethnozoology is responsible for understanding the relationships between peoples and animals (Alves e Souto, 2010).

THEORETICAL REFERENTIAL

When the fauna becomes important to a community, it also becomes part of the resident's culture, establishing many humans' relationships with animals. These relationships can be utilitarian (e.g., food, clothing, medicine, affective), symbolic (legends, myths), or illegal trade (Mason, 1899; Bennett et al., 1999; Alves et al., 2009; Corona, 2011).

Ethno-ornithology, a branch of ethnozoology, seeks to understand the cognitive, behavioral, and symbolic relationships between humans and wild birds, crossing knowledge from the understanding of these relationships under many cultural and ecological contexts.

It is very important to record the traditional ecological knowledge about wild birds, and studying the relationship of small villages with the local avifauna is essential to understand the culture and ecological state of wild birds.

METHODOLOGY

This work was carried out between November and May 2020 in Catuni da Estrada village (10°20'39.9"S and 40°11'01.4"W, 500 m a.s.l.), county of Jaguarari - BA,



Figure 1. (A) Smooth-Billed Ani (*Crotophaga ani*) (B) Southern Caracara (*Caracara plancus*) (MARQUES, 2020); (C) King Vulture (*Sarcoramphus papa*); (D) Black Vulture (*Coragyps atratus*).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We identified 119 species in the interviews. People

located in the north of Chapada Diamantina, Brazil.

We interviewed 50 local residents, who know and use wild birds for different purposes. We chose the residents based on the snowball technique (Biernacki and Waldorf, 1981), locating the other interviewees based on the indication of the first ones.

Whenever necessary, we used a bird field guide (Sigrist, 2015) to help us identify the species with a scientific name according to the List of Birds of Brazil (CBRO, 2015).

We used Microsoft Excel 2013 to analyze the data and elaborate a list of birds of Catuni da Estrada village.

OBJECTIVES

Identify the species known to the residents. Uses of birds by residents: food, traditional medicine, and socio-affective interaction + trade. feed on 24 species. Residents use 11 species in traditional medicine. Twenty-two species are involved in socio-affective interactions (i.e., raised in cages as pets), and consequently, are also present in the illegal trade.

Residents mentioned 13 methods of bird hunting. Two species are in the Red Book of Endangered Brazilian Fauna. And people feed on one of them.





The hunting techniques.



Figure 2. Birds used as food by the residents of Catuni da Estrada - BA, Brazil. A) Squirrel cuckoo– *Piaya cayana*; (B) Ground dove -*Columbina picui*; (C) Gray-necked Wood-rail -*Aramides cajaneus*; (D) White-browed guan -*Penelope Jacucaca* (BONFIM, 2020).

REFERENCES

Figure 3. Species mentioned by the residents of Catuni da Estrada, Bahia, Brazil. (A) Swallow-tailed hummingbird - *Eupetomena macroura*; (B) Redcowled cardinal - *Paroaria dominicana*; (C) Doublecollared seedeater - *Sporophila* caerulescens; (D) Campo troupial - *Icterus jamacaii*. (BONFIM, 2020).

ALVES, R.R.N. 2009. Fauna used in popular medicine in Northheast Brazil. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 5:1.

ALVES, R.R.N.; SOUTO, W.M.S. 2010. Etnozoologia: conceitos, considerações históricas e importância. p. 19-40. In: Alves, R.R.N.; Souto, W.M.S.; Mourão, J.S. (Eds). A Etnozoologia no Brasil – Importância, Status atual e Perspectivas. Recife: Nupeea: 550.

BEGOSSI, A. 1993. Ecologia Humana: um enfoque das relações homem-ambiente. Interciência 18: 121–132. BIERNACKI, P.E. &WALDORF, D. 1981. Snowball sampling problems and techniques of chain referral sampling. Sociological Methods and Research 10: 141–163.

CORONA-M, E. 2011. Apuntes sobre lãs relaciones hombres-fauna, como un escenario del dialogo de saberes. In: VILLAMAR, A.A; CORONA-M, E & MARTINEZ, P.H. (coord) Saberes colectivos y dialogo de saberes em Mexico. MASON, O.T. 1899. Aboriginal American zootechny. American Anthropologist 1:45-81.

BENNETT EL, R.JG. 1999. Hunting for Sustainability: The start of a synthesis. In Hunting for sustainability in Tropical Forests Edited by: Robinson JG, Bennett EL. Biology and Resource Series. Columbia University Press, New York: 499-519.

